## Appendix C - The SMP Use Maps

- 1. Identifying Use Conflicts The SMP process undertook the task of developing "use maps" to document and highlight the myriad of human uses and activities within Narragansett Bay and the coastal ponds. The effort was conducted in response to user-conflict concerns expressed by stakeholders. The goals of mapping human uses were to examine how the Bay and coastal ponds are used and by whom, and also to better understand utilization patterns and interactions. Furthermore, the effort aimed to enhance existing tools and resources available to state agencies to inform management decisions about water-related uses. Data used to inform these maps were collected at a series of ten stakeholder meetings held between January and May of 2013 where participants were asked to draw on paper maps to illustrate where and what activities they engage in. After all of the data was compiled, maps were scanned and brought into ESRI's ArcGIS software where the data was digitized and organized into cohesive datasets. The resulting maps are available on the SMP website (www.rismp.org), in addition to this Appendix.
- Valuing Data While such use maps can be extremely beneficial, there are also challenges. For example, people may disagree on how an area is realistically being utilized or what activity is best suited to occur in an area. The use maps developed throughout the SMP contain information provided by stakeholders with the understanding that all information would be:
  - a. Incorporated into the maps
  - b. Treated as valued reflections of people's interests and uses
  - c. Evaluated subject to the SMP public review process;
  - d. Gathered to document and identify generally how users value certain areas and what activities users engage in; and
  - e. In no way used to assign value of one activity over another or to restrict uses or activities.
- 3. Incorporating Data into Management It is a goal of the SMP that the developed maps will be considered in and guide the state agencies decision-making processes, but how the information will be used is at the discretion of the managers/state agencies. Also, these maps are not intended to support or demonstrate a need to increase or reduce the occurrence of certain activities. Rather, the goal is to highlight the diverse uses of our waters and the importance of balancing uses and reaching compromises among user groups.

- a. Data Gaps The SMP recognizes the maps are not a complete representation of how Rhode Island's waters are used by the public. These maps reflect only data collected during ten publically announced stakeholder meetings. The bias towards shellfishing activity (commercial and recreational) is evident. The State's aquaculture lease sites are not depicted on the final maps, but an interactive map with this data can be found online at the Northeast Ocean Data Portal website: <a href="http://www.northeastoceandata.org/maps/aquaculture/">http://www.northeastoceandata.org/maps/aquaculture/</a>.
- b. Some activities that were illustrated on the maps have been left out of the final maps due to concerns that they are omnipresent and cannot be realistically portrayed in the use maps. These activities include boating, swimming, sailing, kayaking–all of which take place throughout our waters, particularly within the coastal ponds. One of the challenges is that there was no differentiation between to boating and kayaking or between sailing and windsurfing. Future iterations and updates of the maps would benefit from these distinctions.
- 4. It is recognized that many people spent considerable time marking where on the maps they conduct these activities; it is a fundamental challenge in any use mapping exercise to correctly and fully display the level of uses in a realistic, equitable manner. In addition, these uses may change over time, both throughout the year and over successive years. Therefor it is important to continue to update and adapt these use maps in order to provide the most accurate, useful product for agencies to consider. The process of updating is time-intensive and requires many perspectives to be captured and recorded, however the investment is meaningful in its reflection of a useful and complete representation of uses on the water.



















